

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F038673 People v. Siqueido

The judgment is affirmed. Harris, Acting P.J.

We concur: Levy, J.; Cornell, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F038354 Regal Medical Group, Central Calif. Division v. Centennial Medical Group, Inc.

The judgment filed June 13, 2001, is reversed. The superior court is directed to vacate its April 16, 2001, order denying appellant's motion to compel arbitration and its June 12, 2001, order and to enter an order granting Regal's motion to compel arbitration as to the entire controversy. Wiseman, J.

We concur: Dibiaso, Acting P.J.; Gomes, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F041614 Young v. The Superior Court of Tulare County; People

Let a peremptory writ of mandate issue directing respondent court to vacate its order filed on September 24, 2002, insofar as it denies disclosure of any evidence of underlying conduct giving rise to misdemeanor conviction involving moral turpitude and any evidence of prior bad acts or misconduct demonstrating moral turpitude, and enter a new order, as limited above, granting disclosure.

The petition is otherwise denied.

F041152 In re Raymond H., a Minor

The judgment is affirmed. Gomes, J.

We concur: Vartabedian, Acting P.J.; Harris, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F041762 People v. Pagala

Upon this court's own motion, the order filed on January 29, 2003, dismissing the above entitled appeal is vacated; said appeal is ordered reinstated.

IN THE
Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE
Fifth Appellate District

F038703 People v. Lutz

The judgment is affirmed. Gomes, J.

We concur: Dibiaso, Acting P.J.; Wiseman, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F033370 North Kern Water Storage District v. Kern Delta Water District

The judgment is reversed. The case is remanded for retrial of:

- (1) the question whether Kern Delta forfeited by nonuse any part of its MHA entitlement of 300 cfs per day, based upon a measurement (day, month, season, etc.), a specific five-year period, and a consideration of all other relevant factors disclosed by the evidence; and
- (2) all other issues (1) expressly raised by the parties on this appeal but (2) not resolved by this opinion and not found in this opinion to have been waived or abandoned for purposes of this appeal, and (3) put in controversy by reason of the trial court's determination of the issues described in (1) above.

The parties are not limited on retrial under this remand to the evidence introduced during the previous proceedings, and may offer whatever additional evidence they desire to have admitted, subject to the trial court's rulings on the admissibility of such evidence.

Each party shall bear its own costs on this appeal.

Dibiaso, J.

We concur: Ardaiz, P.J. ; Levy, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F041785 People v. Cardenas

Appellant having filed an abandonment and/or request for dismissal of appeal, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.